

EXHIBIT M

From: Helen Chaitman
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2018 4:26 PM
To: Shifrin, Maximillian S.
Cc: Sheehan, David J.
Subject: RE: SIPC v. BLMIS: Trustee's Discovery Responses

Max: When we met in your office in early January, you gave me every impression that you would promptly produce the documents that I had been requesting because we agreed to defer the continued deposition of Mr. Madoff until you produced these documents. It is now four months later. I would like the documents immediately. I see no reason why you should have delayed as long as you have. Please also give me all of the account opening forms and updates for the 509 account. As you know, this was something I requested in January and it is a very small number of documents. Again, the delay in production is inexplicable.

Helen Davis Chaitman
Chaitman LLP
465 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10022
hchaitman@chaitmanllp.com
Cell: (908) 303-4568
Fax: (888) 759-1114

From: Shifrin, Maximillian S. [mailto:mshifrin@bakerlaw.com]
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2018 4:20 PM
To: Helen Chaitman <hchaitman@chaitmanllp.com>
Cc: Sheehan, David J. <dsheehan@bakerlaw.com>
Subject: RE: SIPC v. BLMIS: Trustee's Discovery Responses

Helen,

In connection with your request for documents pertaining to what you consider to be “trading records,” the Trustee has identified documents from the third-party database responsive to the agreed-upon search terms based upon BLMIS bank account numbers.

As you are aware, the Trustee has filed a Motion for an Order Establishing an Omnibus Proceeding for the Purpose of Determining the Existence, Duration, and Scope of the Ponzi Scheme at BLMIS (the “Omnibus Proceeding”). The proposed Omnibus Proceeding and its impact on consolidated discovery will be discussed with Judge Bernstein during the April 25, 2018 omnibus hearing date. While the Trustee is prepared to begin production of those documents now, we suggest holding the production in abeyance in light of the proposed Omnibus Proceeding. This way we can ensure the involvement of all interested parties and avoid further discovery into the nature of the Ponzi scheme on a piecemeal basis. Other defendants with ongoing cases have agreed to hold discovery related to the Ponzi scheme in abeyance pending this motion.

If you prefer to receive the documents prior to Judge Bernstein’s ruling on the Trustee’s motion, however, we are prepared to process and send you the bulk of the responsive documents, but will need to provide notice to the producing parties, pursuant to the procedures in the June 6, 2011 Litigation Protective Order, with respect to certain documents that have been designated confidential.

Please let us know how you would like to proceed.

Best,
Max

From: Shifrin, Maximillian S.
Sent: Thursday, December 21, 2017 5:31 PM
To: 'hchaitman@chaitmanllp.com' <hchaitman@chaitmanllp.com>
Subject: SIPC v. BLMIs: Trustee's Discovery Responses

Helen,

Attached please find the Trustee's responses and objections to your recent requests for production, which have also been sent to you by Federal Express.

Happy holidays,
Max

Maximillian S. Shifrin
Associate

BakerHostetler
45 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10111-0100
T +1.212.589.4252

mshifrin@bakerlaw.com
bakerlaw.com



This email is intended only for the use of the party to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying or distribution of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

Any tax advice in this email is for information purposes only. The content of this email is limited to the matters specifically addressed herein and may not contain a full description of all relevant facts or a complete analysis of all relevant issues or authorities.

Internet communications are not assured to be secure or clear of inaccuracies as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. Therefore, we do not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions that are present in this email, or any attachment, that have arisen as a result of e-mail transmission.